

REMARKS

Claims 25 and 31 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Shtarkman (4,992,190). Claims 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32 and 35 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belding et al ('897) in view of Shtarkman ('190). Claim 33 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belding et al ('897) in view of Shtrakman ('190) as applied to Claim 26 and further in view of Levinson et al (5,384,357).

By this amendment claims 26, 27 and 34 have been cancelled. Claim 27 has been incorporated into claim 25. Claims 25, 26 and 34 have been combined to provide a new claim 36. These independent claims have been indicated to have allowable subject matter and now should be allowable.

The remaining dependent claims all now depend from an allowable base claim and should also be allowable.

It is believed that these changes now make the claims clear and definite and, if there are any problems with these changes, Applicants' attorney would appreciate a telephone call.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed none of the references, taken singly or in combination, disclose the claimed invention. Accordingly, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Raymond L. Owens
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 22,363

RLO:das
Telephone 585-477-4653
Facsimile 585-477-4646